



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:  
Paul E. Peterzell, et al.

For: LOCAL OSCILLATOR LEAKAGE  
CONTROL IN DIRECT CONVERSION  
PROCESSES

Serial No.: 10/020,607

Group Art Unit: 2817

Filed: December 10, 2001

**RESPONSE AND**  
**REQUEST FOR REFUND OF PETITION TO REVIVE FEE**

Hon. Commissioner of Patents  
and Trademarks  
Box DAC  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Applicant respectfully requests revival of the decision to abandon, as well as a refund of the Petition fee of \$1330 thereof for the following reasons.

1. Applicants filed a response to the Office Action dated March 31, 2003 on September 30, 2003. A copy of the Amendment and Request for Continued Prosecution filed therewith are attached hereto.
2. On December 22, 2003, Applicants filed a Preliminary Amendment, a copy of which is attached hereto.
3. On December 19, 2003, Applicants received from the USPTO a Notice of Abandonment dated December 15, 2003.

RESPONSE AND REQUEST FOR REFUND OF PETITION TO REVIVE FEE

Docket: 010073U2

Page 2 of 2

Applicant submits that the outstanding Office Action dated March 31, 2003 was appropriately responded to and for the above-stated reasons prosecution of this case should be reopened and the petition fee of \$1330 for reviving this application should be refunded.

Dated: 1/7/04

Respectfully submitted,


A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'George C. Pappas', is written over a horizontal line.

George C. Pappas  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 35,065

QUALCOMM Incorporated  
5775 Morehouse Drive  
San Diego, California 92121-1714  
Telephone: 858-651-5797  
Facsimile: 858-658-2502



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE




UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

AW

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,607	12/10/2001	Paul E. Peterzell	010073U2	8096
23696	7590	12/16/2003	EXAMINER	
Qualcomm Incorporated Patents Department 5775 Morehouse Drive San Diego, CA 92121-1714			MIS, DAVID C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

PW

10020607 APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED:

**NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT**

This application is abandoned in view of:

☒ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper response to the Office letter mailed on 3/31/3.

☐ A response (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of \_\_\_\_\_) was received on \_\_\_\_\_, which is after the expiration of the period for response (including a total extension of time of \_\_\_\_\_ month(s)) which expired on \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ A proposed response was received on \_\_\_\_\_, but it does not constitute a proper response to the final rejection.

(A proper response to a final rejection consists only of: a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; a Notice of Appeal; or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.62 (FWC).

☒ No response has been received.

☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance.

☐ The issue fee (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of \_\_\_\_\_) was received on \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ The submitted issue fee of \$\_\_\_\_\_ is insufficient. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$\_\_\_\_\_.

☐ The issue fee has not been received.

☐ Applicant's failure to timely file new formal drawings as required in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ Proposed new formal drawings (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of \_\_\_\_\_) were received on \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ The proposed new formal drawings filed \_\_\_\_\_ are not acceptable.

☐ No proposed new formal drawings have been received.

☐ The express abandonment under 37 CFR 1.62(g) in favor of the FWC application filed on \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.

☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a) upon the filing of a continuing application.

☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences rendered on \_\_\_\_\_ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.

☐ The reason(s) below:

**David C. Mis**  
**Primary Examiner**